

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Military Division, OCI  ATTENTION:  THROUGH: Chief, Requirements Branch, Reconnaissance Group, CGS  FROM: Chief, CIA/PID (NPIC)  SUBJECT: Cribovaya Bay Possible Klectronics Installation.  Novaya Zemlya Island, USSR  REFERENCE: (a) Requirement C-CI-3-80,044 (b) CIA/PID Project C 1743-63  1. This memorandum is in response to your requirement dated 5 December 1963 requesting a comperison of Area 2 at Novaya Zemlya Mith instrumentation facilities containing 110 foot domed buildings at Sary Stagan Anti-Missile  Test Center and Uka in the Kanchatka Peninsula.  2. Though an absolute negation of the Novaya Zemlya Area 2 as an instrumentation facility, similar to those at Sary Stagan and Uka, is not possible due to scale and weather limitations, it can be stated that the Novaya Zemlya facility is not the same and therefore probably does not perform the same functions. Three reasons are given for this analysis.  The support facilities are 3.5 nautical miles away from the "dome shape" of the object referred to in the two NFIC referenced reports cannot be confirmed on available photography.  In a positive sense, the UKA and SEATC facilities are directly connected to missile test range activity; therefore, it is converted that operations aght differ from the type of exercise involved at M vays Zemlya. The number is buildings present, the presence of a cleared 1,250 by 150 foot airstrip, and the tract activity certainly implies significance in such a desolate area.					25X
MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Military Division, OCI  ATTENTION:  THROUGH: Chief, Requirements Branch, Reconnar assumce Group, CGS  FROM: Chief, CIA/PID (NPIC)  SUBJECT: Gribovaya Bay Possible Klectronics Installation.  Novaya Zemlya Island, USSR  REFERENCE: (a) Requirement C-CI-3-80, Mut (b) CIA/PID Project C 1743-63  1. This memorandum is in response to your requirement dated 5 December 1963 requesting a comparison of Area 2 at Novaya Zemlya Mith instrumentation facilities containing 110 foot domed buildings at Sary Stagan Anti-Missile  Test Center and Uka in the Kamchatka Peninsula.  2. Though an absolute negation of the Novaya Zemlya Area 2 as an instrumentation facility, similar to thouse at Sary Stagan and Uka, is not possible due to scale and weather limitations. It can be stated that the Roveya Zemlya facility is not the same and therefore probably does not perform the same functions. Three reasons are given for this analysis.  The support facilities are 3.5 mathical miles away from the "dome shaped" structure over very difficult terrain to traverse. Finally, the "dome shape" of the object referred to in the two NFIC referenced reports cannot be confirmed on available photography.  In a positive sense, the UKA and SEATC facilities are directly connected to missile test range activity; therefore, it is conceivable that operations aght differ from the type of exercise involved at N. vays Zemlya. The number of buildings present, the presence of a cleared 1.250 by 150 foot atratrip, and the tract activity certainly implies significance in such a desolate area.	•				
ATTENTION:  THROUGH: Chief, Requirements Branch, Reconnaissance Group, CGS  FROM: Chief, CIA/PID (NPIC)  SUBJECT: Cribovaya Bay Possible Milectronics Installation.  Novaya Zemlya Island, USSR  REFERENCE: (a) Requirement C-CI-3-80,844 (b) CIA/PID Project C 1743-63  1. This memorandum is in response to your requirement dated 5 December 1963 requesting a comparison of Area 2 at Novaya Zemlya with instrumentation facilities containing 110 foot domed buildings at Sary Stagan Anti-Missile Test Center and Uka in the Kamchatka Penhaula.  2. Though an absolute negation of the Novaya Zemlya Area 2 as an instrumentation facility, similar to those at Sary Stagan and Uka, is not possible due to scale and weather limitations, it can be stated that the Novaya Zemlya facility is not the same and therefore probably does not perform the same functions. Three reasons are given for this analysis.  In a support facilities at Uka and SSMIC erestructure over very difficult terreain to traverse. Finally, the "dome shaped" structure over very difficult terreain to traverse. Finally, the "dome shape" of the object referred to in the two NFIC referred reports cannot be confirmed on available photography.  In a positive sense, the UKA and SSATC facilities are directly connected to missile test range activity; therefore, it is conceivable that operations a ght differ from the type of exercise involved at N very Zemlya. The number of buildings present, the presence of a cleared 1,250 by 150 foot airstrip, and the tract activity certainly implies significance in such a desolate area.				1d December 1	25x:
THROUGH: Chief, Requirements Branch, Reconnal space Group, CGS  FROM: Chief, CIA/PID (NPIC)  SUBJECT: Cribovaya Bay Possible Klectronics Installation.  Novaya Zemlya Island, USSR  REFERENCE: (a) Requirement C-CI-3-80,844 (b) CIA/PID Project C 1743-63  1. This memorandum is in response to your requirement dated 5 December 1963 requesting a comperison of Area 2 at Novaya Zemlya with instrumentation facilities containing 110 foot domed buildings at Sary Stagan Anti-Missile Test Center and Uka in the Kamchatka Peninsula.  2. Though an absolute negation of the Novaya Zemlya Area 2 as an Instrumentation facility, similar to those at Sary Stagan and Uka, is not possible due to scale and weather limitations, it can be stated that the Novaya Zemlya Facility is not the same and therefore probably does not perform the same functions. Three reasons are given for this analysis.  The support Facilities at UKa and SSMTC facilities are 3.5 nautical miles away from the "dome shaped" structure over very difficult terrain to traverse. Finally, the "dome shape" of the object referred to in the two NFIC referenced reports cannot be confirmed on available photography.  In a positive sense, the UKA and SSATC facilities are directly connected to missile test range activity; therefore, it is conceivable that operations a ght differ from the type of exercise involved at N vays Zemlya. The number of buildings present, the presence of a cleared 1,250 by 150 foot airstrip, and the tract activity certainly implies significance in such a desolate area.	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Chief, Military Divisi	on, OCI		
SUBJECT: Gribovaya Bay Possible Klectronics Installation.  Novaya Zemlya Island, USSR  REFERENCE:  (a) Requirement C-CI-3-80,844 (b) CIA/PID Project C 1743-63  1. This memorandum is in response to your requirement dated 5 December 1963 requesting a comparison of Area 2 at Novaya Zemlya with instrumentation facilities containing 110 foot domed buildings at Sary Shagan Anti-Missile Test Center and Uka in the Kamchatka Peninsula.  2. Though an absolute negation of the Novaya Zemlya Area 2 as an instrumentation facility, similar to those at Sary Shagan and Uka, is not possible due to scale and weather limitations, it can be stated that the Novaya Zemlya facility is not the same and therefore probably does not perform the same functions. Three reasons are given for this analysis.  The support Facilities at UKa and SSMTC Fresurrounding and adjacent to the 110 foot diameter dome. In the case of Area 2 the support facilities are 3.5 nautical miles away from the "dome shaped" structure over very difficult terrein to traverse. Finally, the "dome shape" of the object referred to in the two NFIC referenced reports cannot be confirmed on available photography.  In a positive sense, the UKA and SSATC facilities are directly connected to missile test range activity; therefore, it is connected that operations a ght differ from the type of exercise involved at N waya Zemlya. The number of buildings present, the presence of a cleared 1.250 by 150 foot airstrip, and the tract activity certainly implies significance in such a desolate area.  DDR-DUPE	ATTENTION:				25X1
SUBJECT: Gribovaya Bay Possible Klectronics Installation.  Novaya Zemlya Island, USSR  REFERENCE: (a) Requirement C-CI-3-80,844 (b) CIA/PID Project C 1743-63  1. This memorandum is in response to your requirement dated 5 December 1963 requesting a comparison of Area 2 at Novaya Zemlya with instrumentation facilities containing 110 foot domed buildings at Sary Shagan Anti-Missile Test Center and Uka in the Kamchatka Penincula.  2. Though an absolute negation of the Novaya Zemlya Area 2 as an instrumentation facility, similar to those at Sary Shagan and Uka, is not possible due to scale and weather limitations, it can be stated that the Novaya Zemlya facility is not the same and therefore probably does not perform the same functions. Three reasons are given for this analysis.  The support facilities are 3.5 mutical miles away from the "dome shaped" structure over very difficult terrain to traverse. Finally, the "dome shape" of the object referred to in the two NFIC referenced reports cannot be confirmed on available photography.  In a positive sense, the UKA and SSATC facilities are directly connected to missile test range activity; therefore, it is conceivable that operations and the tract activity certainly implies significance in such a desolate area.  DDR-DUPE	THROUGH:	Chief, Requirements Br	anch, Reconnaissan	ce Group, CGS	
Novaya Zemlya Island, USSR  REFERENCE: (a) Requirement C-CI-3-80,844 (b) CIA/PID Project C 1743-63  1. This memorandum is in response to your requirement dated 5 December 1963 requesting a comparison of Area 2 at Novaya Zemlya with instrumentation facilities containing 110 foot domed buildings at Sary Shagan Anti-Missile Test Center and Uka in the Kamchatka Peninsula.  2. Though an absolute negation of the Novaya Zemlya Area 2 as an instrumentation facility, similar to those at Sary Shagan and Uka, is not possible due to scale and weather limitations, it can be stated that the Novaya Zemlya facility is not the same and therefore probably does not perform the same functions. Three reasons are given for this analysis.  The support facilities at Uka and SEMIC are surrounding and adjacent to the 110 foot diameter dome. In the case of Area 2 the support facilities are 3.5 nautical miles away from the "dome shaped" of the object referred to in the two NPIC referenced reports cannot be confirmed on available photography.  In a positive sense, the UKA and SEMIC facilities are directly connected to missile test range activity; therefore, it is conceivable that operations a ght differ from the type of exercise involved at Novaya Zemlya. The number of buildings present, the presence of a cleared 1,250 by 150 foot airstrip, and the tract activity certainly implies significance in such a desolate area.  DDR-DUPE	FROM:	Chief, CIA/PID (NPIC)			
1. This memorandum is in response to your requirement dated 5 December 1963 requesting a comparison of Area 2 at Novaya Zemnya with instrumentation facilities containing 110 foot domed buildings at Sary Shagan Anti-Missile Test Center and Uka in the Kamchatka Peninsula.  2. Though an absolute negation of the Novaya Zemnya Area 2 as an instrumentation facility, similar to those at Sary Shagan and Uka in not possible due to scale and weather limitations, it can be stated that the Novaya Zemnya facility is not the same and therefore probably does not perform the same functions. Three reasons are given for this analysis.  The support facilities at Uka and SEMNC are surrounding and adjacent to the 110 foot diameter done. In the case of Area 2 the support facilities are 3.5 nautical miles away from the "dome shaped" structure over very difficult terrain to traverse. Finally, the "dome shape" of the object referred to in the two NFIC referenced reports cannot be confirmed on available photography.  In a positive sense, the UKA and SSATC facilities are directly connected to missile test range activity; therefore, it is conceivable that operations aght differ from the type of exercise involved at N. vaya Zemiya. The number of buildings present, the presence of a cleared 1,250 by 150 foot airstrip, and the tract activity certainly implies significance in such a desolate area.	SUBJECT:			llation.	
1. This memorandum is in response to your requirement dated 5 December 1963 requesting a comparison of Area 2 at Novaya Zemiya with instrumentation facilities containing 110 foot domed buildings at Sary Shagan Anti-Missile Test Center and Uka in the Kamchatka Peninsula.  2. Though an absolute negation of the Novaya Zemiya Area 2 as an instrumentation facility, similar to those at Sary Shagar and Uka, is not possible due to scale and weather limitations, it can be stated that the Novaya Zemlya facility is not the same and therefore probably does not perform the same functions. Three reasons are given for this analysis.  250  The support facilities at Uka and SSMTC eresults are 3.5 nautical miles away from the "dome shaped" structure over very difficult terrain to traverse. Finally, the "dome shape" of the object referred to in the two NFIC referenced reports cannot be confirmed on available photography.  In a positive sense, the UKA and SSATC facilities are directly connected to missile test range activity; therefore, it is conceivable that operations and ght differ from the type of exercise involved at N vaya Zemiya. The number of buildings present, the presence of a cleared 1.250 by 150 foot airstrip, and the tract activity certainly implies significance in such a desolate area.  DDR-DUPE	REFERENCE:				
the support facilities are 3.5 nautical miles away from the "dome shaped" structure over very difficult terrain to traverse. Finally, the "dome shape" of the object referred to in the two NPIC referenced reports cannot be confirmed on available photography.  In a positive sense, the UKA and SSATC facilities are directly connected to missile test range activity; therefore, it is conceivable that operations and differ from the type of exercise involved at N. vaya Zemiya. The number of buildings present, the presence of a cleared 1,250 by 150 foot airstrip, and the tract activity certainly implies significance in such a desolate area.  DDR-DUPE	Test Center and	Uka in the Kamchatka Per	ninsula.		
251	2. Though instrumentation possible due to Novaya Zemlya f	an absolute negation of facility, similar to the scale and weather limits acility is not the same a	ninsula. the Novaya Zemlye ose at Sary Shagan ations, it can be and therefore prob	Area 2 as an and Uka, is not stated that the ably does not	25×1
	2. Though instrumentation possible due to Novaya Zemlya f perform the same surrounding and the support fac structure over shape" of the obe confirmed on In a posit to missile test might differ from buildings pr	an absolute negation of facility, similar to the scale and weather limits acility is not the same a functions. Three reasonable and the same are functions. Three reasonable are 3.5 nautical very difficult terrain to bject referred to in the available photography.  ive sense, the UKA and Si range activity; therefore the type of exercise seesent, the presence of a	the Novaya Zemlye one at Sary Shagan ations, it can be and therefore probons are given for a traverse. Final two NFIC references of the control of the contr	Area 2 as an and Uka, is not stated that the ably does not this analysis.  at Uka and SSMIC In the case of A he "dome shaped" ly, the "dome ed reports cannot be that operation Zemlya. The nur 150 foot airstri	ere 25x1 rea 2 t cted ons mber
	2. Though instrumentation possible due to Novaya Zemlya f perform the same surrounding and the support fac structure over shape" of the obe confirmed on In a posit to missile test a ght differ from buildings prand the tract a	an absolute negation of facility, similar to the scale and weather limits acility is not the same a functions. Three reasonable and the same are functions. Three reasonable are 3.5 nautical very difficult terrain to bject referred to in the available photography.  ive sense, the UKA and Si range activity; therefore the type of exercise seesent, the presence of a	the Novaya Zemlye one at Sary Shagan ations, it can be and therefore probons are given for a traverse. Final two NFIC references of the control of the contr	Area 2 as an and Uka, is not stated that the ably does not this analysis.  at Uka and SSMIC In the case of A he "dome shaped" ly, the "dome ed reports cannot be that operation Zemlya. The nur 150 foot airstri	ere 25x1 rea 2 t cted ons mber
	2. Though instrumentation possible due to Novaya Zemlya f perform the same surrounding and the support fac structure over shape" of the obe confirmed on In a posit to missile test might differ from buildings prand the tract a	an absolute negation of facility, similar to the scale and weather limits acility is not the same a functions. Three reasonable and the same are functions. Three reasonable are 3.5 nautical very difficult terrain to bject referred to in the available photography.  ive sense, the UKA and Si range activity; therefore the type of exercise seesent, the presence of a	the Novaya Zemlye one at Sary Shagan ations, it can be and therefore probons are given for a traverse. Final two NFIC references of the control of the contr	Area 2 as an and Uka, is not stated that the ably does not this analysis.  at Uka and SSMIC In the case of A he "dome shaped" ly, the "dome ed reports cannot be that operation Zemlya. The nur 150 foot airstri	t ted ons mber p. area.
	2. Though instrumentation possible due to Novaya Zemlya f perform the same surrounding and the support fac structure over shape" of the obe confirmed on In a posit to missile test might differ from buildings prand the tract a	an absolute negation of facility, similar to the scale and weather limits acility is not the same a functions. Three reasonable and the same are functions. Three reasonable are 3.5 nautical very difficult terrain to bject referred to in the available photography.  ive sense, the UKA and Si range activity; therefore the type of exercise seesent, the presence of a	the Novaya Zemlye one at Sary Shagan ations, it can be and therefore probons are given for a traverse. Final two NFIC references of the control of the contr	Area 2 as an and Uka, is not stated that the ably does not this analysis.  at Uka and SSMIC In the case of A he "dome shaped" ly, the "dome ed reports cannot be that operation Zemlya. The nur 150 foot airstri	ere 25xx

TOP SELECT		25X
SUBJECT: Gribovaya Bay Possible Electronics Installation Novaya Zemlya Island, USSR	, M/EB 532/63	25X
3. The photo analyst on this project is contacted on extension should you have any further quither grapher this project.	and he may be uestions concerning	25X 25X
4. This project has been coordinated with is considered to be complete.	of ABCB/PID and	25X1 25X1
		4
	·.	·25X1
<b>10)</b>		